arXiv:1203.3694v1 [math.ST] 16 Mar 2012

Goodness-of-Fit Tests for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process

Yury A. Kutoyants
Laboratoire de Statistique et Processus, Université du Maine
72085 Le Mans, Cédex 9, France

Abstract

We consider the goodness of fit testing problem for linear stochastic differential equation (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process). The basic hypothesis is supposed to be composite with two-dimensional unknown parameter. We study two goodness of fit tests of Cramer-von Mises type based on empirical distribution function and on local time estimator of the invariant density. It is shown that the limit distributions of the underlying statistics under hypothesis do not depend on the unknown parameter.

MSC 2000 Classification: 62M02, 62G10, 62G20.

Key words: *Cramer-von Mises tests, ergodic diffusion process, goodness of fit test, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, hypotheses testing.*

1 Introduction

We consider the construction of the *goodness-of-fit* (GoF) tests for stochastic differential equation

$$
{\rm d}X_t=S\left(X_t\right)\,{\rm d}t+\sigma\,{\rm d}W_t,\qquad X_0,\quad 0\leq t\leq T \tag{1}
$$

We suppose that under basic hypothesis we observe an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (O-U) process

$$
{\rm d}X_t=-\beta\left(X_t-\alpha\right)\,{\rm d}t+\sigma\,{\rm d}W_t,\qquad X_0,\quad 0\leq t\leq T \tag{2}
$$

where $\vartheta=(\alpha,\beta)\in\vartheta$ is unknown parameter. The set $\Theta=(a_1,a_2)\times(b_1,b_2)$ and $b_1>0$.

Remind that in the case of i.i.d. observations with distribution $F(\vartheta, x)$ depending on unknown parameter ϑ the Cramer-von Mises test is based on the statistics

$$\Delta_n = n\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\hat{F}_n(x) - F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_n, x\right)\right]^2 \mathrm{d}F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_n, x\right)$$

and its limit distribution depends of the value ϑ. There are at least two particular cases when this limit distribution does not depend on ϑ : in the case of shift and scale parameters. The distributions of the Cramér-von Mises type statistics with estimated parameters were studied by Darling [2], Durbin [3] and Kac et al. [7]. We consider the similar situation in the case of observations of O-U process (2).

In our case we propose two Cramer-von Mises type statistics similar to Δ_n. At particularly, we study the statistics like

$$\Delta_T = T\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\hat{F}_T(x) - F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_T, x\right)\right]^2 \mathrm{d}F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_T, x\right), \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{F}_T(x)$ is empirical distribution function and $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ is the MLE. Remind that the invariant distribution $F(\vartheta, x)$ of O-U process (2) is $\mathcal{N}\left(\alpha, \frac{\sigma^2}{2\beta}\right)$ and the MLE is asymptotically normal

$$\sqrt{T}\left(\hat{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta\right) \Longrightarrow \xi(\vartheta) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathrm{I}(\vartheta)^{-1}\right).$$

Here $\mathrm{I}(\vartheta)$ is the information matrix. It can be shown that under regularity conditions the statistic Δ_T has the following behaviour (as $T \to \infty$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_T &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Big[\sqrt{T}\left(\hat{F}_T(x) - F(\vartheta, x)\right) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sqrt{T}\left(F(\vartheta, x) - F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_T, x\right)\right)\Big]^2 \mathrm{d}F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_T, x\right) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\eta_T(x) - \sqrt{T}\left(\left(\hat{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta\right)\dot{F}\left(\tilde{\vartheta}, x\right)\right)\right]^2 \mathrm{d}F\left(\hat{\vartheta}_T, x\right) \\
&\Longrightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\eta(\vartheta, x) - \left(\xi(\vartheta), \dot{F}(\vartheta, x)\right)\right]^2 f(\vartheta, x)\,\mathrm{d}x \equiv \Delta
\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta(\vartheta, x)$ is a Gaussian process which admits the representation

$$\eta(\vartheta, x) = 2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F(\vartheta, x \wedge y) - F(\vartheta, y)F(\vartheta, x)}{\sqrt{f(\vartheta, y)}}\,\mathrm{d}W(y).$$

Here $W(\cdot)$ is two-sided Wiener process. The distribution of Δ in general case depends on the true (unknown) value ϑ and the choice of the threshold c_ε for the test $\hat\psi_T=1\!\!1_{\{\Delta_T>c_\varepsilon\}}$ can be a difficult problem. The solution c_ε of the equation $\mathbf{P}\left\{\Delta>c_\varepsilon\right\}=\varepsilon$ depends on ϑ, i.e.; $c_\varepsilon=c_\varepsilon\left(\vartheta\right)$. Note that if we put $c_\varepsilon=c_\varepsilon(\hat\vartheta_T)$ then we have to prove the continuity of this function.

In the present work we study the statistics like Δ_T in the case of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process (2) and show that the limit distributions of these statistics do not depend on ϑ. Such tests can be called Asymptotically Parameter Free (APF). Therefore the threshold c_ε can be choosen before the experiment. The study of the goodness of fit problems for continuous time observations of the ergodic diffusion processes was discussed in the works Kutoyants [8], [9], Dachian and Kutoyants [1], Negri and Nishiyama [12]. See as well [4] and [5] where the close questions were discussed. The case of ergodic diffusion process with shift parameter and AFP test was studied by Negri and Zhou [13].

2 Main result

We consider two goodness of fit tests of the Cramer-von Mises type with statistics like (3) based on empirical distribution function and empirical density estimators. Remind that the empirical distribution function

$$\hat F_T\left(x\right)=\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T 1\!\!1_{\{X_t<x\}}\;{\rm d}t$$

and empirical density estimator (local time estimator)

$$\hat f_T\left(x\right)=\frac{\left|X_t-x\right|-\left|X_0-x\right|}{\sigma^2T}-\frac{1}{\sigma^2T}\int_0^T {\rm sgn}\left(X_t-x\right)\;{\rm d}X_t$$

are unbiased, consistent and asymptotically normal

$$\sqrt{T}\left(\hat F_T\left(x\right)-F\left(\vartheta,x\right)\right)\Longrightarrow\mathcal{N}\left(0,d_F\left(\vartheta,x\right)^2\right),$$
$$\sqrt{T}\left(\hat f_T\left(x\right)-f\left(\vartheta,x\right)\right)\Longrightarrow\mathcal{N}\left(0,d_f\left(\vartheta,x\right)^2\right)$$

(see [8], Propositions 1.51 and 1.57). The corresponding Cramer-von Mises type statistics are

$$\delta_T\left(X^T\right)=\sigma^2T\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\hat f_T\left(x\right)-f\left(\hat\vartheta_T,x\right)\right|^2{\rm d}F\left(\hat\vartheta_T,x\right)$$

and

$$\Delta_T\left(X^T\right)=\hat\beta_T T\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\hat F_T\left(x\right)-F\left(\hat\vartheta_T,x\right)\right|^2\mathrm{d}F\left(\hat\vartheta_T,x\right).$$

Here $\hat\vartheta_T=\left(\hat\alpha_T,\hat\beta_T\right)$ is the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of ϑ.

Introduce Gaussian processes

$$\zeta_f\left(x\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[2\frac{F_0\left(y\right)-1\!\!1_{\{y>x\}}}{f_0\left(y\right)}-2x+\left(1-2x^2\right)y\right]\sqrt{f_0\left(y\right)}\,\mathrm{d}W\left(y\right)$$

and

$$\zeta_F\left(x\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[2\frac{F_0\left(y\right)F_0\left(x\right)-F_0\left(y\wedge x\right)}{f_0\left(y\right)f_0\left(x\right)}+1+xy\right]\sqrt{f_0\left(y\right)}\,\mathrm{d}W\left(y\right).$$

Here $W\left(y\right),y\in R$ is two-sided Wiener process. Define two constants c_ε and d_ε by the equations

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\zeta_f\left(x\right)^2 f_0\left(x\right)^3\mathrm{d}x>c_\varepsilon\right\}=\varepsilon,$$
$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\zeta_F\left(x\right)^2 f_0\left(x\right)^3\mathrm{d}x>d_\varepsilon\right\}=\varepsilon.$$

The class of tests of asymptotic size $1-\varepsilon$ we denote as $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon$.

Theorem 1 *The tests*

$$\psi_T\left(X^T\right)=1\!\!1_{\{\delta_T>c_\varepsilon\}},\qquad\qquad \phi_T\left(X^T\right)=1\!\!1_{\{\Delta_T>d_\varepsilon\}}$$

belong to $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon$.

Proof. We start with $\delta_T\left(X^T\right)$. Let us introduce three stochastic processes $\eta_T\left(\cdot\right),\,\xi_{\alpha,T}\left(\cdot\right)$ and $\xi_{\beta,T}\left(\cdot\right)$ by the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{T}\left(\hat f_T\left(x\right)-f\left(\hat\vartheta_T,x\right)\right)\\&\quad=\sqrt{T}\left(\hat f_T\left(x\right)-f\left(\vartheta,x\right)\right)+\sqrt{T}\left(f\left(\vartheta,x\right)-f\left(\hat\vartheta_T,x\right)\right)\\&\quad=\eta_T\left(x\right)-\left(\sqrt{T}(\hat\vartheta_T-\vartheta),\dot f\left(\tilde\vartheta,x\right)\right)\\&\quad=\eta_T\left(x\right)-\sqrt{T}\left(\hat\alpha_T-\alpha\right)\frac{\partial f\left(\vartheta,x\right)}{\partial\alpha}-\sqrt{T}\left(\hat\beta_T-\beta\right)\frac{\partial f\left(\vartheta,x\right)}{\partial\beta}+r_T\\&\quad=\eta_T\left(x\right)-\xi_{\alpha,T}\left(x\right)-\xi_{\beta,T}\left(x\right)+r_T.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\eta_T(x) = \sqrt{T}\left(\hat{f}_T(x) - f(\vartheta, x)\right)$ etc. The function $f(\cdot)$ has continuous bounded derivatives, therefore for the term r_T we have $\mathbf{E}_\vartheta r_T^2 \to 0$.

Introduce as well the random process

$$Z_t = \frac{\sqrt{\beta}\,(X_t - \alpha)}{\sigma}, \qquad 0 \le t \le T.$$

The equation (2) we can re-write as

$$\mathrm{d}\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\,(X_t - \alpha)}{\sigma} = -\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\,(X_t - \alpha)}{\sigma}\,\mathrm{d}\,(\beta t) + \sqrt{\beta}\,\mathrm{d}W_t, \qquad X_0, \quad 0 \le t \le T$$

and so we obtain the equation for Z_t:

$$\mathrm{d}Z_t = -Z_t\,\mathrm{d}\,(\beta t) + \sqrt{\beta}\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad Z_0, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

If we put $Y_s = Z_{\frac{s}{\beta}}, 0 \le s \le T\beta$, then the equation for Y_s is

$$\mathrm{d}Y_s = -Y_s\,\mathrm{d}s + \,\mathrm{d}w_s, \qquad Y_0, \qquad 0 \le s \le T\beta,$$

where $w_s = \sqrt{\beta}W_{s/\beta}, s > 0$ is a Wiener process. The invariant density of this process $f_0(y)$ is $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. We have

$$f(\vartheta, x) = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\pi\sigma^2}}\exp\left\{-\frac{\beta}{\sigma^2}(x-\alpha)^2\right\} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\pi\sigma^2}}\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\,(x-\alpha)}{\sigma}\right)^2\right\}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sigma}f_0\left(\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\,(x-\alpha)}{\sigma}\right), \qquad f_0(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-z^2}.$$

Therefore

$$F(\vartheta, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{y}\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\pi\sigma^2}}\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\,(z-\alpha)}{\sigma}\right)^2\right\}\,\mathrm{d}z$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\sqrt{\beta}(y-\alpha)}{\sigma}}\frac{e^{-v^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,\mathrm{d}v = F_0\left(\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\,(y-\alpha)}{\sigma}\right).$$

Our goal is to represent the statistics Δ_T and δ_T as some integral type functionals of $Y_s, 0 \le s \le T\beta$. These represntations will alow us to show that the limit distributions of these statistics do not depend on ϑ.

Remind that local time estimator admits the representations :

$$\eta_T(x) = \frac{2f(\vartheta, x)}{\sqrt{T}}\int_{X_0}^{X_T}\frac{1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{\{y>x\}} - F(\vartheta, y)}{\sigma^2 f(\vartheta, y)}\,\mathrm{d}y$$
$$- \frac{2f(\vartheta, x)}{\sqrt{T}}\int_0^T\frac{1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{\{X_t>x\}} - F(\vartheta, X_t)}{\sigma f(\vartheta, X_t)}\,\mathrm{d}W_t.$$

Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_T\left(x\right) &= -\frac{2f\left(\vartheta,x\right)}{\sqrt{T}}\int_0^T\frac{1\!\!1_{\left\{X_t>x\right\}}-F\left(\vartheta,X_t\right)}{\sigma f\left(\vartheta,X_t\right)}\mathrm{d}W_t+o\left(1\right)\\ &= -\frac{2f_0\left(z\right)}{\sqrt{T}}\int_0^T\frac{1\!\!1_{\left\{Z_t>z\right\}}-F_0\left(Z_t\right)}{\sqrt{\beta}\sigma f_0\left(Z_t\right)}\left[\mathrm{d}Z_t+Z_t\mathrm{d}\left(t\beta\right)\right]+o\left(1\right)\\ &= -\frac{2f_0\left(z\right)}{\sqrt{T\beta}}\int_0^{T\beta}\frac{1\!\!1_{\left\{Y_s>z\right\}}-F_0\left(Y_s\right)}{\sigma f_0\left(Y_s\right)}\left[\mathrm{d}Y_s+Y_s\mathrm{d}s\right]\\ &= \frac{B_{T\beta}\left(Y,z\right)}{\sigma}f_0\left(z\right)+o\left(1\right).\end{aligned}$$

For convergence $o\left(1\right)$ see the proof of the Theorem 4.6 in [8]. The processes $\xi_{\alpha,T}\left(x\right)$ and $\xi_{\beta,T}\left(x\right)$ can be written as

$$\xi_{\alpha,T}\left(x\right)=\left(\hat{\alpha}_T-\alpha\right)\frac{\partial f\left(\vartheta,x\right)}{\partial\alpha},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f\left(\vartheta,x\right)}{\partial\alpha} &= \frac{2\beta^{3/2}\left(x-\alpha\right)}{\sigma^3\sqrt{\pi}}\exp\left\{-\frac{\beta}{\sigma^2}\left(x-\alpha\right)^2\right\}\\ &= \frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2\sqrt{\pi}}\;\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\left(x-\alpha\right)}{\sigma}\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\left(x-\alpha\right)}{\sigma}\right)^2\right\}=\frac{2\beta}{\sigma^2}\;z\,f_0\left(z\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\xi_{\beta,T}\left(x\right)=\left(\hat{\beta}_T-\beta\right)\frac{\partial f\left(\vartheta,x\right)}{\partial\beta},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f\left(\vartheta,x\right)}{\partial\beta} &= \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\sigma^2\beta}}-\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\sigma^2\pi}}\;\frac{\left(x-\alpha\right)^2}{\sigma^2}\right]\exp\left\{-\frac{\beta}{\sigma^2}\left(x-\alpha\right)^2\right\}\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2\pi\beta}}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\left(x-\alpha\right)}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\left(x-\alpha\right)}{\sigma}\right)^2\right\}\\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\beta}}\left[\frac{1}{2}-z^2\right]\;f_0\left(z\right).\end{aligned}$$

Further

$$\hat{\alpha}_T=\frac{X_T-X_0}{\hat{\beta}_TT}+\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T X_t\mathrm{d}t$$

and

$$\hat{\beta}_T = \frac{\hat{\alpha}_T\left(X_T - X_0\right) - \int_0^T X_t \mathrm{d}X_t}{\int_0^T \left(X_t - \hat{\alpha}_T\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{T}\left(\hat{\alpha}_T - \alpha\right) &= \frac{1}{\beta\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \left[\mathrm{d}X_t + \beta\left(X_t - \alpha\right)\mathrm{d}t\right] + o\left(1\right) \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{\beta^{3/2}\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \left[\mathrm{d}\frac{\sqrt{\beta}\left(X_t - \alpha\right)}{\sigma} + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}\left(X_t - \alpha\right)}{\sigma}\mathrm{d}\left(\beta t\right)\right] + o\left(1\right) \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{\beta\sqrt{T\beta}} \int_0^{T\beta} \left[\mathrm{d}Y_s + Y_s \mathrm{d}s\right] + o\left(1\right) = \frac{\sigma}{\beta} M_{T\beta}\left(Y\right) + o\left(1\right).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second estimator similary we obtain

$$\sqrt{T}\left(\hat{\beta}_T - \beta\right) = -\sqrt{\beta}\,\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{T\beta}}\int_0^{T\beta} Y_s\left[\mathrm{d}Y_s + Y_s\mathrm{d}s\right]}{\frac{1}{T\beta}\int_0^{T\beta} Y_s^2 \mathrm{d}s} + o\left(1\right).$$

Note that by the law of large numbers

$$\frac{1}{T\beta}\int_0^{T\beta} Y_s^2 \mathrm{d}s \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}_0 Y^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{T}\left(\hat{\beta}_T - \beta\right) &= -\sqrt{\beta}\frac{2}{\sqrt{T\beta}}\int_0^{T\beta} Y_s\left[\mathrm{d}Y_s + Y_s\mathrm{d}s\right] + o\left(1\right) \\
&= -2\sqrt{\beta}N_{T\beta}\left(Y\right) + o\left(1\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{T}\left(\hat{f}_T\left(x\right) - f\left(\hat{\vartheta}_T, x\right)\right) \\
&\qquad = \left[B_{T\beta}\left(Y, z\right) - 2z\, M_{T\beta}\left(Y\right) + \left(1 - 2z^2\right) N_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)\right] f_0\left(z\right) + r_T\left(z\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the vector

$$\left(B_{T\beta}\left(Y, z_1\right), \ldots, B_{T\beta}\left(Y, z_k\right), M_{T\beta}\left(Y\right), N_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)\right).$$

For the linear combination of its component by the central limit theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{l=1}^{k}\lambda_l B_{T\beta}\left(Y,z_l\right)+\lambda_{k+1}M_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)+\lambda_{k+2}N_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)\\&\qquad=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T\beta}}\int_0^{T\beta}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{k}2\lambda_l\frac{F_0\left(Y_s\right)-1\!\!1_{\left\{Y_s>z_l\right\}}}{f_0\left(Y_s\right)}+\lambda_{k+1}+\lambda_{k+2}Y_s\right]{\rm d}w_s\\&\qquad=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T\beta}}\int_0^{T\beta}\sum_{l=1}^{k+2}\lambda_l\Phi_l\left(Y_s,z_l\right){\rm d}w_s\Longrightarrow\mathcal{N}\left(0,D\right)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D=\sum_{l=1}^{k+2}\sum_{m=1}^{k+2}\lambda_l\lambda_m\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi_l\left(v,z_l\right)\Phi_m\left(v,z_m\right)\;f_0\left(v\right)\;{\rm d}v.$$

Further, we can write the following two estimates: for any $L>0$ and $\left|z_1\right|,\left|z_2\right|\leq L\;\left(z_2>z_1\right)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}_0\left|B_{T\beta}\left(Y,z_2\right)-B_{T\beta}\left(Y,z_1\right)\right|^2\\&\qquad=\frac{4}{T\beta}\mathbf{E}_0\int_0^{T\beta}\left|\frac{1\!\!1_{\left\{Y_s>z_2\right\}}-F_0\left(Y_s\right)}{f_0\left(Y_s\right)}-\frac{1\!\!1_{\left\{Y_s>z_1\right\}}-F_0\left(Y_s\right)}{f_0\left(Y_s\right)}\right|^2{\rm d}s\\&\qquad=4\int_{z_1}^{z_2}\frac{{\rm d}v}{f_0\left(v\right)}\leq 4\sqrt{\pi}e^{L^2}\;\left|z_2-z_1\right|=C\;\left|z_2-z_1\right|.\end{aligned}$$

and for any $\kappa>0$ there exist a constant $L>0$, which does not depend on T, such that

$$\int_{\left|z\right|\geq L}\mathbf{E}_0\left[B_{T\beta}\left(Y,z\right)-2z\,M_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)+\left(1-2z^2\right)N_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)\right]^2f_0\left(z\right)^3{\rm d}z\leq\kappa.$$

Hence (see [6], Theorem 9.7.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_T\left(X^T\right)&=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[B_{T\beta}\left(Y,z\right)+zM_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)-\left(1-2z^2\right)N_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)\right]^2f_0\left(z\right)^3{\rm d}z+o\left(1\right)\\&\Longrightarrow\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\zeta_f\left(z\right)^2f_0\left(z\right)^3{\rm d}z.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\psi_T\in\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon$.

For the second statistics $\Delta_T\left(X^T\right)$ we have the similar relations. The empirical distribution function has the representation

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{T}\left(\hat F_T\left(x\right)-F\left(\vartheta,x\right)\right)&=\frac{2}{\sqrt{T}}\int_{X_0}^{X_T}\frac{F\left(\vartheta,x\wedge y\right)-F\left(\vartheta,x\right)F\left(\vartheta,y\right)}{\sigma^2 f\left(\vartheta,y\right)}\,{\rm d}y\\&\quad-\frac{2}{\sqrt{T}}\int_0^T\frac{F\left(\vartheta,x\wedge X_t\right)-F\left(\vartheta,x\right)F\left(\vartheta,X_t\right)}{\sigma f\left(\vartheta,X_t\right)}\,{\rm d}W_t,\end{aligned}$$

and we can write

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{2}{\sqrt{T}}\int_0^T\frac{F\left(\vartheta,x\right)F\left(\vartheta,X_t\right)-F\left(\vartheta,x\wedge X_t\right)}{\sigma f\left(\vartheta,X_t\right)}\,{\rm d}W_t\\&=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta}\sqrt{T\beta}}\int_0^{T\beta}\frac{F_0\left(z\right)F_0\left(Y_s\right)-F_0\left(z\wedge Y_s\right)}{f_0\left(Y_s\right)}\left[{\rm d}Y_s+Y_s{\rm d}s\right]=\frac{A_{T\beta}\left(Y,z\right)}{\sqrt{\beta}}.\end{aligned}$$

Further ($z=\sqrt{\beta}\sigma^{-1}\left(x-\alpha\right)$)

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{T}\left(F\left(\hat\vartheta_T,x\right)-F\left(\vartheta,x\right)\right)\\&=\sqrt{T}\left(\hat\alpha_T-\alpha\right)\frac{\partial F\left(\vartheta,x\right)}{\partial\alpha}+\sqrt{T}\left(\hat\beta_T-\beta\right)\frac{\partial F\left(\vartheta,x\right)}{\partial\beta}+o\left(1\right)\\&=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}M_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)f_0\left(z\right)-\frac{z}{\sqrt{\beta}}N_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)\,f_0\left(z\right)+o\left(1\right).\end{aligned}$$

Remind that

$$\frac{\hat\beta_T}{\beta}=1+o\left(1\right).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_T\left(X^T\right)&=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[A_{T\beta}\left(Y,z\right)+M_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)+zN_{T\beta}\left(Y\right)\right]^2f_0\left(z\right)^3{\rm d}z+o\left(1\right)\\&\Longrightarrow\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\zeta_F\left(x\right)^2f_0\left(x\right)^3{\rm d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the test $\phi_T\left(X^T\right)$ is APF.

Note that the tests of Kolmogorov-Smirnov type have the similar APF property. Indeed, let us introduce the statistics

$$\begin{aligned}\hat\delta_T\left(X^T\right)&=\sup_x\sqrt{T}\left|\hat f_T\left(x\right)-f\left(\hat\vartheta_T,x\right)\right|\sigma,\\\hat\Delta_T\left(X^T\right)&=\sup_x\sqrt{T}\left|\hat F_T\left(x\right)-F\left(\hat\vartheta_T,x\right)\right|\sqrt{\hat\beta_T}.\end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that under hypothesis these statistics have the limits

$$\hat{\delta}_T\left(X^T\right) \Longrightarrow \sup_x \left|\zeta_f\left(x\right)\right| f_0\left(x\right), \qquad \hat{\Delta}_T\left(X^T\right) \Longrightarrow \sup_x \left|\zeta_F\left(x\right)\right| f_0\left(x\right)$$

The weak convergence of the corresponding stochastic processes can be proved using the techniques developed in Kutoyants [8], Theorem 4.13 and in Negri [11] respectively.

References

[1] Dachian, S. and Kutoyants, Yu.A. (2007) On the goodness-of-fit tests for some continuous time processes, in *Statistical Models and Methods for Biomedical and Technical Systems*, F.Vonta *et al.* (Eds), Birkhäuser, Boston, 395-413.

[2] Darling, D. A., (1955) The Cramér-Smirnov test in the parametric case. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 26, 1-20.

[3] Durbin, J., (1973) Weak convergence of the sample distribution function when parameters are estimated.*Ann. Statist.*, 1, 279-290.

[4] Fournie, E. (1992) Un test de type Kolmogorov-Smirnov pour processus de diffusions ergodic. *Rapport de Recherche,* **1696**, INRIA, Sophia-Antipolis.

[5] Fournie, E., Kutoyants, Yu. A. (1993) Estimateur de la distance minimale pour des processus de diffusion ergodiques. *Rapport de Recherche,* **1952**, INRIA, Sophia-Antipolis

[6] Gikhman, I.I., Skorokhod, A.V.. (1969) *Introduction to Theory of Random Processes.* Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders.

[7] Kac, M., Kiefer, J. and Wolfowitz, J. (1955) On tests of normality and other tests of goodness of fit based on distance methodes. *Ann. Statist.*, 26, 189-211.

[8] Kutoyants, Yu.A. (2004) *Statistical Inference for Ergodic Diffusion Processes,* Springer, London.

[9] Kutoyants Yu. A., (2010) *On the goodness-of-fit testing for ergodic diffusion processes. J. of Nonparametric Statistics,* 22, 4, 529-543.

[10] Lehmann, E.L. and Romano, J.P. (2005) *Testing Statistical Hypotheses.* (3rd ed.) Springer, N.Y.

[11] Negri, I. (1998) Stationary distribution function estimation for ergodic diffusion process. *Statist. Infer. Stoch. Processes*, 1, 1, 61-64.

[12] Negri, I. and Nishiyama, Y. (2009) Goodness of fit test for ergodic diffusion processes. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, 61, 919-928.

[13] Negri, I. and Zhou, L., (2012) On goodness-of-fit testing for ergodic diffusion process with shift parameter, sumitted.